

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Evgeny FRUMKER

Appn. No. 10/086,643

Date Filed: March 4, 2002

For: AN OBJECT DETECTION METHOD AND SYSTEM

Art Unit: 2878

Examiner: Kevin PYO

Washington, D.C.

Atty.'s Docket: FRUMKER=1

JUL 07 2004



Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office NON-FEE
2011 South Clark Place
Customer Window, Mail Stop
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, Virginia 22202

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a [X] REPLY: RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT AND REMARKS the above-identified application.

[] Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted

[] Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27.

No fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)	(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS
TOTAL	* 29	MINUS ** 29	0
INDEP.	* 4	MINUS *** 4	0
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM			

ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL \$

SMALL ENTITY		OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
x 9	\$	x 18	\$..)
x 43	\$	x 86	\$
+ 145	\$	+ 290	\$
ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL		OR	TOTAL \$..

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

[] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity

Response Filed Within

- [] First - \$ 55.00
- [] Second - \$ 210.00
- [] Third - \$ 475.00
- [] Fourth - \$ 740.00

Month After Time Period Set

Other Than Small Entity

Response Filed Within

- [] First - \$ 110.00
- [] Second - \$ 420.00
- [] Third - \$ 950.00
- [] Fourth - \$ 1480.00

Month After Time Period Set

[] Less fees (\$ _____) already paid for ____ month(s) extension of time on _____.

[] Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$ _____.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By _____
Anne M. Kornbau
Registration No. 25,884

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
Telephone: (202) 628-5197

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



ATTY.'S DOCKET: FRUMKER=1

In re Application of:) Art Unit: 2878
Evgeny FRUMKER) Examiner: Kevin PYO
Appln. No.: 10/086,643) Washington, D.C.
Filed: March 4, 2002) Confirmation No. 6968
For: AN OBJECT DETECTION) July 7, 2004
METHOD AND SYSTEM)

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT AND REMARKS

Customer Window, Mail Stop
Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, Virginia 22202

Sir:

The Office Actions mailed March 9 and June 29, 2004, in the nature of a requirement for restriction, has been carefully reviewed. Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Restriction has been required among what the Examiner considers to be patentably distinct inventions, as follows:

Group I, drawn to a system and method for monitoring a region of interest, presently comprising claims 1-27;

Group II, drawn to a beam shaping element, presently comprising claim 28;

Appln. No. 10/086,643
Amd. dated April 6, 2004
Reply to Office Action of March 9, 2004

Group III, drawn to a detector, presently comprising
claim 29.